



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.												
10/501,104	12/17/2004	Alessandro Vescovini	58009-018400	5582												
7590 Greenberg Traurig Suite 400E 2450 Colorado Avenue Santa Monica, CA 90404		05/18/2007	<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">CRANE, DANIEL C</td></tr><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td>3725</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>05/18/2007</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		CRANE, DANIEL C		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3725		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	05/18/2007	PAPER
EXAMINER																
CRANE, DANIEL C																
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER															
3725																
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE															
05/18/2007	PAPER															

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/501,104

Applicant(s)

VESCOVINI, ALESSANDRO

Examiner

Daniel C. Crane

Art Unit

3725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel C. Crane.

(3)_____

(2) Joe Foster.

(4)_____

Date of Interview: 08 May 2007.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: No specific claim.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vergnani (4,175,417).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner pointed out that without some specific feature or structure which facilitates the apparatus working on larger workpieces, the claims are met by the applied teaching. Examiner maintained his position relative to the applicability of Vergnani against the claims as set out in the Advisory and Final Office Actions.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required